

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/581,293	EHRHARDT ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	David H. Kruse	1638

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Abandonment

(1) David H. Kruse.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Roberte Makowski.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 10 March 2009

**Time:** 1:15 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's attorney indicated that applicants did not intend to reply to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed 8 September 2008.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/David H Kruse/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1638

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)